Serial No.: 09/746,199

Art Unit: 1324

Amendment dated June 23, 2004

Rcply to Office Action March 26, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the specification, a number of paragraphs at pages 2 and 3, as identified above, have

been amended.

Claims 1-5, 7-12, and 14 remain in the application.

Claims 6 and 13 have been canceled.

New dependent claims 15 - 22 have been added.

Claim 1

Amended claim 1 combines the subject matter of claim 1 and claim 6 as originally filed,

and includes further amendments to better define the scope of the invention. Amended claim 1

requires "at least one passive component", "an identification module...", "a tester interconnected

with said at least one passive component", and a processor "for monitoring with said tester

whether a performance characteristic for said passive component is within an acceptable tolerance

as specified by said component information stored in said identification module". This

amendment is supported, for example, by the paragraph beginning at page 6, line 8 of the

specification as filed. No new matter has been added.

Meinema discloses a catheter sensor and memory unit which can be coupled to signal

processing and conditioning circuitry. Meinerna fails to disclose "a tester interconnected with

said at least one passive component" and a processor "for monitoring with said tester whether a

performance characteristic for said passive component is within an acceptable tolerance", as

required by amended claim 1. Therefore, Meinema cannot be said to anticipate amended claim

Page 9 of 13

Serial No.: 09/746,199

Art Unit: 1324

Amendment dated June 23, 2004 Reply to Office Action March 26, 2004

1.

Goldring discloses an electro-optical coupler for coupling a fiberoptic catheter to a catheter oximeter processing apparatus. As disclosed at col. 5, lines 45 – 68, Goldring relies on a calibration device having known characteristics, such as a reference block, to test relative measurement characteristics (e.g. reflectivity) of a particular set-up. As noted at col. 6, lines 1 – 10, this is done for the purposes of determining whether errors are being generated due to formation of deposits on a calibration tip, for example. Goldring fails to disclose, for example, a processor for monitoring with a tester whether a performance characteristic for a passive component is within an acceptable tolerance as specified by component information stored in the identification module.

For the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that amended claim I is patentably distinguishable from Meinema and Goldring.

Claims 2-5, and 7-9

Claims 2-5, and 7-9 depend from amended claim 1. In view of the above reasons for amended claim 1, it is submitted that these dependent claims are patentably distinguishable from Meinema and Goldring.

Claim 10

Claim 10 has been amended to better define the scope of the invention. Amended claim 10 requires "a non-volatile memory storing specifications for a passive component", "a tester for detecting signals at an input and output of said passive component", and "a processor operatively associated with said non-volatile memory and said tester for monitoring whether a performance

Page 10 of 13

Serial No.: 09/746,199

Art Unit: 1324

Amendment dated June 23, 2004

Reply to Office Action March 26, 2004

characteristic of said passive component as detected by said tester is within an acceptable

tolerance as specified by said specifications stored in said non-volatile memory".

Meinema fails to disclose a tester for detecting signals at an input and output of said

passive component, and a processor operatively associated with said non-volatile memory and

said tester for monitoring whether a performance characteristic of said passive component as

detected by said tester is within an acceptable tolerance as specified by said specifications stored

in said non-volatile memory.

Goldring fails to disclose a processor for monitoring whether a performance characteristic

for a passive component is within an acceptable tolerance as specified by component information

stored in the identification module.

For the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that amended claim 10 is patentably

distinguishable from Meinema and Goldring.

Claim 11

In amended claim 11, claims 11 and 13 as filed have been combined and further amended

to better define the scope of the invention. Amended claim 11 requires "retrieving specification

information for said passive component from said non-volatile memory", "sampling an input

signal to and an output signal from said passive component", "determining performance

characteristics for said passive component based on said sampling", and "comparing said

performance characteristic with said retrieved specification information to determine whether said

performance characteristic is within an acceptable tolerance of said specification information".

Meinema and Goldring fail to disclose all elements of amended claim 11. For example,

these references fail to disclose "comparing said performance characteristic with said retrieved

specification information to determine whether said performance characteristic is within an

Page 11 of 13

Serial No.: 09/746,199 Art Unit: 1324

Amendment dated June 23, 2004 Reply to Office Action March 26, 2004

acceptable tolerance of said specification information".

For the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that amended claim 11 is patentably distinguishable from Meinema and Goldring.

Claims 12 and 14

Claims 12 and 14 depend from amended claim 11. In view of the above arguments for claim 11, it is submitted that dependent claims 12 and 14 are also patentably distinguishable from Goldring.

New Claims 15 - 22

New claims 15-22 have been added to recite particular embodiments of the invention.

As new claims 15-22 depend from either claim 1 or 11, it is submitted that new claims 15 - 22 are patentably distinguishable from the references of record.

Serial No.: 09/746,199 Art Unit: 1324 Amendment dated June 23, 2004

Amendment dated June 23, 2004 Reply to Office Action March 26, 2004

With the above amendments and arguments, it is believed that all of the Examiner's objections have been addressed. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

416

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald D. Faggetter Registration No. 33,345

SMART & BIGGAR 438 University Avenue Suite 1500, Box 111 Toronto, Ontario Canada M5G 2K8

Telephone: (416) 593-5514 Facsimile: (416) 591-1690

Date: June 23, 2004 RDF/TWN 91436-298